

Suspected Earth Impact Sites database

Database manager: **David Rajmon**, Shell, Houston, TX, USA
drajmon@yahoo.com; <http://david.rajmon.cz>

Last update: 2 October 2006

Historic updates: 1 September 2005, 11 January 2006, 3 March 2006, 10 July 2006

First published: 1 June 2005

Current structure count

<i>Confirmed (Earth Impact Database):</i>	174
Confirmed (SEIS):	4
Probable:	115
Possible:	434
Improbable	8
Rejected:	68

Purpose

The aim for this database is to:

- Provide ever-evolving list helping to steer research on suspected impact structures.
- Provide basic data for each structure presented with a meaningful precision.
- Provide brief and specific information about data sources and quality, incl. literature references, methods used to measure the data, clarification of conflicting information from various literature sources etc.
- Sort out non-impact structures by consciously rejecting them instead of just forgetting them and allowing unreasonable proposals to keep coming back.

Historical background

The development of this database started in October 2004 for a brief reconnaissance project at Shell and was released for public development in June 2005. It was designed complementary to Earth Impact Database (EID, 2006), which has been widely regarded as a reference work for confirmed impact structures. Extensive list of proposed impact structures from Moilanen (2004) together with those structures that I independently compiled from literature formed the basis of the new database. Moilanen's database provided references and valuable additional information for some of the structures, but for most of the structures, references were not available, which prevented an assessment of the quality and vintage of the data. Similarly several recently added entries from Mikheeva (2006) contain data of uncertain quality.

Current status and further development

Although the data quality and database design continues to evolve rapidly, relatively few structures bear detailed notes currently. Most structures barely show a reference mentioning that structure. The structures printed in CAPITALS have been merely grabbed from Moilanen's database and I did not have a chance to review them

yet; all the notes for these structures come from Moilanen's database unless mentioned otherwise.

Further data development continues along three lines:

1. Systematic scanning of literature and internet for more structures.
2. Systematic literature compilation for individual structures.
3. Geologic screening study utilizing satellite data accessible on internet.

On a technical side, I plan to move the database on an SQL platform. This is currently motivated by the development of links with Google Earth and Google Map, but the new architecture will also allow more sophisticated management and manipulation options in the future.

How to contribute

Compilation of all necessary information is a huge task for one person but can be achieved if the impact community joins forces and many people contribute a little. Any information is appreciated, however, presentation in the database format is strongly preferred as it saves me a lot of work. Please refer to the explanation of data attributes below and to some more complete examples of the structures in the database (e.g. Sirente, Ševětín, Silverpit, Alamo).

Ideally I would like you to submit:

- Data in Excel file
- electronic files of the referred literature

Please send your contributions to David Rajmon (drajmon@yahoo.com). Contributions will be recognized in the database.

Many thanks to all who provided some feedback so far!

Sources systematically searched for new proposed impacts:

Databases, compilations

(von Engelhardt, 1972; Grieve et al., 1988; Henkel and Pesonen, 1992; Hodge, 1994; Glikson, 1996; Koeberl and Anderson, 1996; Fortes, 2000; Abels et al., 2002; Glikson and Haines, 2004; Moilanen, 2004; Sharpton, 2004 November; Evans et al., 2005; Glikson and Haines, 2005; EID, 2006).

Abstracts

(Herrick and Pierrazzo, 2003)

(Evans et al., 2005)

(Ormö and Bergman, 2006)

LPSC 1999, 2002, 2004, 2006

METSOC 2004, 2005, 2006

GSA 2006

Journals

Meteoritics vol. 2-6 (excluding meeting abstracts)

MAPS 2002-2006 (excluding meeting abstracts)

Other

(Johnson and Campbell, 1997; Dressler and Sharpton, 1999; Dypvik et al., 2004)

Explanation of data attributes:

Classification

1. **Confirmed** – impact site with documented shock features and/or meteoritic material and/or observed fall but not included in the Earth Impact Database (EID) because the structure does not pass the EID size restriction or lacks a typical structure due to the nature of the particular impact event (airblast, deep water...).
2. **Probable** – structural, geological and geophysical studies established reasonable evidence, possibly with unconfirmed reports of shock features in abstracts, but the definite shock features and/or meteoritic material is not well documented yet. Includes Moilanen's "probable" structures and also some of the Moilanen's "nearly proven structures" if I or person who I trust did not review the evidence. More than 50% of these structures are expected to be of impact origin.
3. **Proposed/Possible** – some structural, geological and/or geophysical evidence exists but the impact origin is still highly uncertain for the lack of data. Any structures supported by work that has not been published. This includes some poorly supported proposals until they get reviewed and reclassified. Less than 50% of these structures are expected to be of impact origin.
4. **Improbable** – observations of the structure and/or geological context suggest non-impact origin but alternative interpretation has not been well established.
5. **Rejected** – non-impact origin has been well documented

Structure name

The most common name is followed by other used names in parentheses. For structures in countries using Latin alphabet, spelling in respective language is adopted. Diacritics (a mark added to a letter to indicate a special pronunciation) can be destroyed when saving as .txt file - WATCH OUT.

Crater field

Indication of whether the structure is a part of a crater field.

Region, Country

Mostly taken from the referenced literature source, but not always. This also may be derived by the database contributor from Lat/Lon data as those are considered primary way of the structure location.

Latitude/Longitude

Shown in decimal degrees format, where N and E are positive, and S and W are negative. Number of shown digits depends on precision of available data and

circumstances. For example, showing a center of a 1-km structure with 1-minute precision is inadequate as the location may end up outside of the structure. Precision of 1 second for an 80-km structure is clearly irrelevant. Beware that underlying number may show many more digits; this is a result of a deg/min/sec conversion to decimal degrees and does not reflect actual precision. When saving in different format or copying and pasting the numbers the formatting may be lost, i.e. zeros at the end will be omitted effectively decreasing precision and irrelevant digits will be shown increasing precision unreasonably.

Diameter

Original rim-to-rim diameter is preferred. If not available, diameter of observed feature is used. In any case, the diameter should be explained in “Notes”. Please refer to Turtle et al. (2005).

Age

The age should be recorded in original format and with a range of uncertainty. The stratigraphic names are translated in number in the columns “Minimum age”, “Best age”, and “Maximum age” and the note should explain how the age was calibrated. For ages indicated with a range, e.g. 100-300 Ma, the “Best age” should be left blank as it would be meaningless. “Age uncertainty” is filled in only if explicitly indicated in original data. “Age uncertainty type” shows whether the uncertainty represents 1σ , 2σ , 95% confidence interval, MSWD, stratigraphic range, etc. For cases of approximate ages without an indication of uncertainty an arbitrary 10% uncertainty has been chosen (to serve the needs in the original project, for which this database was created). Note that 2σ and 95% confidence intervals are not necessarily the same.

Representation of age data in several columns may seem redundant but it is a result of formatting for the original purpose of this database and perhaps may be useful for other people too. Initially, stratigraphic ages were converted to numerical ages for some structures and the original format does not appear in the database. This practice has been later abandoned with a realization that the numerical ages will change according to evolving stratigraphic charts.

Exposed, Drilled

This information can be provided with certainty if the answer is “yes”. As one cannot be sure about the negative answer for drilling of many of the structures, “No” should be entered with care.

Notes

The notes should:

- **Accurately capture literature sources for each individual data entry**
- **Describe the basis of impact origin proposal, particular attention should be paid to reports of shock features and meteoritic material.**
- Explain methods used to obtain the data (e.g., step-heating Ar/Ar on K-feldspar separates) and uncertainties. Sometimes a brief discussion of other conflicting data is useful (e.g., older ages with different methods).
- Describe competing hypotheses, at least by referring to who advocated what.

- Provide at least a list of other references not discussed any further.
- Pay attention to information about drilling (where, who, location of cores ...)

The notes should be kept brief but clear and specific. Complete notes according to these guidelines are presently not available for almost any structure but we should push for making them as complete as possible. The bold items are the most important ones.

Moilanen (2004) is the only reference for structures with names in CAPITALS. These structures have not been reviewed and any notes present come from that source.

Compiled by

Recognition is given to those persons who contributed information to a particular structure in “ready format”. Throwing an abstract at me does not count towards your recognition ☺.

References

- Abels A., Plado J., Pesonen L. J. and Lehtinen M. (2002) The impact cratering record of Fennoscandia - a close look at the database. In *Impacts in Precambrian Shields*, edited by J. Plado and L. J. Pesonen. Impact studies Berlin, Germany: Springer. pp. 1-58.
- Dressler B. O. and Sharpton V. L. (1999) *Large Meteorite Impacts and Planetary Evolution II*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 339. Boulder, Colorado, USA: Geological Society of America. 464 p.
- Dypvik H., Burchell M. and Claeys P. (2004) *Cratering in Marine Environments and on Ice*. Impact Studies Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag. 340 p.
- Eid (2006) *Earth Impact Database*. 7 February 2006.
<http://www.unb.ca/passc/ImpactDatabase>
- Evans K. R., Horton J. W., Jr., Thompson M. F. and Warme J. E. (2005) *SEPM research conference: The sedimentary record of meteorite impacts, Springfield, Missouri, USA, 21-23 May, 2005 - abstracts with program*. 35 p.
- Fortes A. D. (2000) *Terrestrial impact structures*. 19 November 2004.
<http://www.es.ucl.ac.uk/research/planet/crater.htm>
- Glikson A. Y. (1996) A compendium of Australian impact structures, possible impact structures, and ejecta occurrences. *AGSO Journal of Australian Geology and Geophysics* 16(4):373-375.
- Glikson A. Y. and Haines P. W. (2004) A compendium of Australian impact structures, possible impact structures, and ejecta occurrences. *unpublished*.
- Glikson A. Y. and Haines P. W. (2005) Shoemaker Memorial Issue on the Australian impact record: 1997 – 2005 update. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 52(4-5):475-476. <http://journalonline.tandf.co.uk/link.asp?id=v252567310658522>
- Grieve R. A. F., Wood C. A., Garvin J. B., Mclaughlin G. and Mchone J. F. (1988) Possible impact craters. In *Astronaut's guide to terrestrial impact craters*, edited. LPI Technical Report 88-03 Houston, TX, USA: Lunar and Planetary Institute. pp. 75-82. http://adsabs.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/nph-bib_query?bibcode=1988agic.rept...75G&db_key=AST&high=418ab4008707867

- Henkel H. and Pesonen L. J. (1992) Impact craters and craterform structures in Fennoscandia. *Tectonophysics* 216(1-2):31-40.
- Herrick R. R. and Pierrazzo E. (2003) *Impact Cratering: Bridging the Gap Between Modeling and Observations*. Houston, Texas, USA: Lunar and Planetary Institute.
- Hodge P. (1994) *Meteorite craters and impact structures of the Earth*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 124 p.
- Johnson K. S. and Campbell J. A. (1997) *Ames structure in northwest Oklahoma and similar features: Origin and petroleum production (1995 symposium)*. Oklahoma Geological Survey Circular 100. Norman, OK, United States: University of Oklahoma. 396 p.
- Koeberl C. and Anderson R. R. (1996) Manson and company: Impact structures in the United States. In *The Manson impact structure, Iowa: Anatomy of an impact crater*, edited by C. Koeberl and R. R. Anderson. Geological Society of America Special Paper 302. Boulder, Colorado, USA: Geological Society of America. pp. 1-30.
- Mikheeva A. V. (2006) *Earth Impact Structures*. Novosibirsk, Russia: Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. <http://omzg.sgcc.ru/impact/>
- Moilanen J. (2004) *List of probable and possible impact structures of the World*. 29 October 2004. <http://www.somerikko.net/old/geo/imp/possible.htm>
- Ormö J. and Bergman H. (2006) *Impact craters as indicators for planetary environmental evolution and astrobiology - abstracts, June 8 - 14, 2006*. Östersund, Sweden. http://www.geo.su.se/index.php?group_ID=2204
- Sharpton V. L. (2004 November) *Global impact studies project*. <http://www.gi.alaska.edu/rem sense/gisp/index.html>
- Turtle E. P., Pierazzo E., Collins G. S., Osinski G. R., Melosh H. J., Morgan J. V. and Reimold W. U. (2005) Impact structures: What does crater diameter mean? In *Large meteorite impacts III*, edited by T. Kenkmann, F. Hörz and A. Deutsch. Geological Society of America Special Paper 384. Boulder, Colorado, USA: Geological Society of America. pp. 1-24.
- Von Engelhardt W. (1972) Impact structures in Europe. In *24th International Geological Congress*, pp. 90-111, Montréal, Canada.